

Table of Contents

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
STRUCTURE	ix
TABLE OF CONTENTS	xi
LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES	xix
ABBREVIATIONS	xxi
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Reminiscences from a Continuing Past	1
1.1.1 <i>Protecting Communities I</i>	1
1.1.2 <i>Protecting Communities II</i>	3
1.1.3 <i>Protecting Communities III</i>	4
1.1.4 <i>The Ambiguity of Hindsight</i>	6
1.2 Identifying the Problem	11
1.3 Delimiting the Problem	12
1.3.1 'Access'	12
1.3.2 'Extraterritorial Protection'	15
1.3.3 'Regulated'	18
1.3.4 'In the European Union'	21
1.4 Identifying Law	23
1.4.1 <i>International Law</i>	26
1.4.1.1 The Concept of 'International Law'	26
1.4.1.2 Sources of International Law	28
1.4.1.3 Normative Hierarchies within International Law	32

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.4.1.4	International Law and Justiciability Advantages	33
1.4.2	<i>The Law of the European Union</i>	36
1.4.2.1	Terminology	36
1.4.2.2	Primary and Secondary Law of the European Union	37
1.4.2.3	EC Law and Union Law: Conceptual Questions	38
1.4.2.4	EC Law: Sources and Normative Hierarchies	41
1.4.2.5	EC Law and Justiciability Advantages	46
1.4.2.6	Union law: Sources, Normative Hierarchies and Justiciability Advantages	49
1.4.2.7	A Normative Hierarchy between EC Law, Union Law and International Law?	53
1.4.3	<i>Intermediary Conclusion</i>	55
1.5	Determining Law—Methodological Considerations	57
1.5.1	<i>A Triple Dilemma</i>	58
1.5.2	<i>Structuring Conflicts</i>	61
1.5.3	<i>The Legal-technical Level</i>	63
1.5.4	<i>The Qualitative Level</i>	64
1.5.5	<i>The Metalegal Level</i>	65
1.6	The Structure of Inquiry	67
2	UNIVERSALISM VERSUS PARTICULARISM	73
2.1	Choosing between Torture and Terrorism: Mr. Chahal vs. the U.K. Population	73
2.2	Universalism	75
2.3	Particularism	79
2.4	Human Rights versus Sovereignty	82
2.5	Artefact versus Organism	84
2.6	Meandering Arguments	89
3	DETERMINANTS OF PROTECTION SYSTEMS	97
3.1	The State Perspective	101
3.2	The Perspective of the Protection Seeker	107
3.2.1	<i>Access to Territory</i>	108
3.2.2	<i>Access to Full-fledged Procedures</i>	112
3.2.3	<i>Access to Protection</i>	113
3.3	Two Loops	114

TABLE OF CONTENTS

4 EUROPEAN INTEGRATION AND EXTRATERRITORIAL PROTECTION 117

4.1	The Real World: Flight Movements to and within Europe since 1985	119
4.2	The Institutional World: From Schengen to Amsterdam	121
4.2.1	<i>Freedom through Control: The 1985 White Paper and the Single European Act</i>	122
4.2.2	<i>L'Europe accélérée: Schengen</i>	123
4.2.3	<i>Trying to Catch Up: The European Political Cooperation</i>	127
4.2.3.1	The Dublin Convention	127
4.2.3.2	The Draft External Border Convention	128
4.2.3.3	Enter Soft Law: The London Resolutions	129
4.2.3.4	Swapping Information: CIREA and CIREFI	130
4.2.4	<i>Maastricht—Progress through Split Competencies?</i>	132
4.2.5	<i>Planning the Economy of Harmonization: Amsterdam</i>	135
4.2.5.1	Competencies and Obligations	136
4.2.5.2	Decision Making under Title IV	140
4.2.5.3	The Role of the ECJ	142
4.2.5.4	The Position of Denmark, Ireland and the U.K.	145
4.2.6	<i>The Integration of the Schengen acquis</i>	146
4.2.7	<i>The Variable Geometry of Integration</i>	148
4.2.8	<i>Enlarging the Union</i>	153
4.3	Conclusion	156

5 ACCESS TO TERRITORY UNDER THE EU ACQUIS 161

5.1	Pre-entry Measures	161
5.1.1	<i>The Harmonisation of Visa Regimes</i>	162
5.1.1.1	Visa Harmonisation in the EU	164
5.1.1.2	Visa Harmonisation in the Schengen Group	169
5.1.1.3	Mainstreaming the Schengen Visa acquis: The Commission Proposal	174
5.1.2	<i>Measures Complementing Visa Regimes</i>	175
5.1.2.1	Carrier Sanctions	176
5.1.2.2	Sanctions against Human Smugglers	178
5.1.2.3	Pre-frontier Assistance and Training	179
5.1.3	Conclusion	181

TABLE OF CONTENTS

5.2	Post-entry Measures: The Concept of Safe Third Countries	182
5.2.1	<i>Allocation to other Member States</i>	185
5.2.1.1	The Dublin Convention	185
5.2.1.2	Complementing Dublin: The Eurodac Proposal	198
5.2.2	<i>Allocation to Non-Member States</i>	199
5.2.2.1	The 1992 Resolution on Host Third Countries	199
5.2.2.2	Complementing Allocation to Non-Member States: Readmission Agreements	203
5.2.2.2.1	<i>The EU acquis on Readmission</i>	205
5.2.2.2.2	<i>Competencies after Amsterdam</i>	206
5.2.3	<i>Conclusion</i>	209
6	ACCESS TO FULL-FLEDGED PROCEDURES UNDER THE EU ACQUIS	213
6.1	The EU acquis Related to Procedure	215
6.2	The Spanish Protocol	224
6.3	Competencies after Amsterdam	227
6.4	Conclusion	232
7	ACCESS TO PROTECTION UNDER THE EU ACQUIS	233
7.1	Protection Categories	233
7.1.1	<i>The EU Acquis Related to Protection Categories: Questions of Scope</i>	237
7.1.2	<i>The Substantial Content of the Refugee Joint Position</i>	239
7.1.3	<i>Competencies after Amsterdam</i>	242
7.1.4	<i>Conclusion</i>	244
7.2	Return	244
7.2.1	<i>The EU acquis Related to Return</i>	247
7.2.2	<i>The Schengen acquis Related to Return</i>	253
7.2.3	<i>Competencies after Amsterdam</i>	254
7.2.4	<i>Assessment of the EU acquis Related to Return</i>	254
7.3	Intermediary Conclusion: Access to Protection in the EU	259

TABLE OF CONTENTS

8 SHARING THE BURDEN?	263
8.1 The Concept and Function of Burden-sharing	263
8.1.1 <i>The Objective of Burden-sharing</i>	265
8.1.2 <i>The Scope of Burden-sharing</i>	267
8.1.2.1 Sharing Norms	270
8.1.2.2 Sharing Money	272
8.1.2.3 Sharing People	273
8.1.3 <i>Assessing Burden-sharing Schemes</i>	275
8.2 Burden-sharing and International Law	277
8.3 Burden-sharing and the EU acquis	285
8.3.1 <i>Negotiations Preceding the 1995 Resolution</i>	289
8.3.2 <i>Comparison of the German Draft and the 1995 Resolution</i>	293
8.3.3 <i>The Impact of the Treaty of Amsterdam</i>	297
8.3.4 <i>The Solidarity Drafts: Merging Admission and Burden-sharing?</i>	301
8.3.5 <i>The Experimental Instruments of 1997 to 1999</i>	309
8.3.6 <i>The Proposal on a European Refugee Fund</i>	312
8.4 Concentrating the Burden	316
8.4.1 <i>Concentration Effects of the Dublin Convention</i>	317
8.4.2 <i>Concentration Effects of Safe Third Country-Arrangements</i>	325
8.5 Two Interpretive Approaches	329
8.5.1 <i>Subsidiarity</i>	330
8.5.2 <i>Game Theory</i>	337
8.6 Conclusion	347
9 INTERNATIONAL LAW AND EXTRATERRITORIAL PROTECTION	353
9.1 Protection	357
9.1.1 <i>A Right to Seek and Enjoy Asylum?</i>	357
9.1.2 <i>Express Prohibitions of Refoulement in Human Rights Law</i>	362
9.1.2.1 The 1951 Refugee Convention	362
9.1.2.2 The 1984 CAT	364
9.1.2.3 Other Express Prohibitions of Refoulement in Human Rights Law?	366

TABLE OF CONTENTS

9.1.3	<i>Express Prohibitions of Refoulement in Humanitarian Law</i>	366
9.1.4	<i>Implicit Prohibitions of Refoulement in Treaty Law</i>	369
9.1.4.1	Human Rights Law	369
9.1.4.2	Humanitarian Law	372
9.2	Access to Territory	377
9.2.1	<i>The ICCPR</i>	377
9.2.2	<i>The Fourth Protocol to the ECHR</i>	378
9.3	Methodology of Interpretation	379
9.4	Identifying Hard Cases	382
9.4.1	<i>Protection: Implicit Prohibitions of Refoulement</i>	382
9.4.1.1	Article 3 ECHR and Article 7 ICCPR	383
9.4.1.2	Article 32 FC	384
9.4.2	<i>Access to Territory</i>	386
9.4.2.1	The Right to Leave and the Right to Entry	386
9.4.2.2	Explicit Prohibitions of Refoulement	387
9.4.2.3	Implicit Right to Access	389
9.4.3	<i>Interim Conclusion on the First Stage</i>	390
10	INTERPRETING HARD CASES	393
10.1	Protection: Implicit Prohibitions of Refoulement	394
10.1.1	<i>Article 3 ECHR</i>	394
10.1.1.1	The Reasoning of the European Organs	394
10.1.1.2	Two Critics of the European Organs	400
10.1.1.3	Methodological Appraisal	404
10.1.1.4	Reinterpreting Article 3 ECHR	407
10.1.2	<i>Article 7 ICCPR</i>	415
10.2	Access to Territory	416
10.2.1	<i>The Right to Leave and the Right to Entry</i>	416
10.2.1.1	A Universalist Reading	417
10.2.1.2	A Particularist Reading	420
10.2.1.2.1	<i>Complementing the Second Stage</i>	420
10.2.1.2.2	<i>The Third Stage</i>	421
10.2.1.3	Conclusion	422
10.2.2	<i>Explicit Prohibitions of Refoulement</i>	423
10.2.2.1	Article 33 GC	423
10.2.2.1.1	<i>The Second and Third Stage in the Light of Doctrinal Debate</i>	423
10.2.2.1.2	<i>Article 33 GC in the Light of the Dublin Convention</i>	431

TABLE OF CONTENTS

10.2.2.1.3	<i>Conclusion</i>	432
10.2.2.2	Article 3 CAT	432
10.2.2.2.1	<i>The Second Stage</i>	432
10.2.2.2.2	<i>The Third Stage</i>	435
10.2.2.3	Article 45 FC	438
10.2.3	<i>Implicit Prohibitions of Refoulement</i>	440
10.2.3.1	Article 7 ICCPR	440
10.2.3.2	Article 3 ECHR	441
10.3	Interim Conclusion on Hard Cases	444
10.4	Substance by Method?	446
10.4.1	<i>What Does the Vienna Convention Do To the Law?</i>	446
10.4.2	<i>What Do Lawyers Do With the Vienna Convention?</i>	448
10.4.3	<i>Three Wildcards: Presumptions, Telos and Indeterminacy</i>	449
11	DELIMITING AND JUSTIFYING PROTECTION UNDER THE ECHR	453
11.1	The Case Law of the European Organs	454
11.2	A Hierarchy among Rights?	458
11.3	Irreparability as a Water-Shed?	464
11.4	A Hierarchy within Rights: The Concept of Positive Obligations	467
11.5	Conclusion	473
12	THREE CONFLICT ZONES	475
12.1	Access to Territory: Visa Requirements and the ECHR	476
12.1.1	<i>Determinants of Discrimination Arguments</i>	480
12.1.2	<i>Discrimination under the ECHR?</i>	482
12.1.3	<i>Refining Proportionality Reasoning</i>	485
12.1.4	<i>Applying the Refined Proportionality Test to Visa Requirements</i>	488
12.1.5	<i>Appraisal</i>	493
12.2	Access to Territory and Protection: Choosing between Dublin and Geneva?	494
12.2.1	<i>Permissive Tolerance, Margin of Discretion or Unitary Interpretation?</i>	496
12.2.2	<i>Delimiting Protection Categories: Persecution by Third Parties</i>	511

TABLE OF CONTENTS

12.2.2.1	The 1951 Refugee Convention	512
12.2.2.2	The CAT	521
12.2.2.3	The ECHR	524
12.2.3	Appraisal	535
12.3	Access to Full-Fledged Procedures: The Spanish Protocol and Discrimination	536
12.3.1	<i>Interpreting the Spanish Protocol in the Light of International Law</i>	536
12.3.2	<i>Discrimination under the 1951 Refugee Convention?</i>	540
12.3.3	<i>Discrimination under the ICCPR and ECHR?</i>	546
12.3.4	<i>Discrimination under the TEC?</i>	549
12.3.5	Appraisal	552
12.4	Conclusion	556
13	DEMOS, DETERMINACY AND JUSTIFICATION	559
13.1	Tilting the Balance: Constructions of the Demos	562
13.1.1	<i>The European Union and the Demos Dilemma</i>	564
13.1.2	<i>Essence: Distinguishing Friend and Foe</i>	568
13.1.3	<i>Contract: Universality by Consent</i>	575
13.1.4	<i>Indeterminacy: The Particularist's Last Trump?</i>	581
13.2	The Anomaly of Transnational Human Rights	586
13.3	Strasbourg and the Exceptional: Legitimation in the Courtroom?	587
14	NEGOTIATING ASYLUM: A SUMMARY OF OBSERVATIONS	593
CASES		599
INTERNATIONAL TREATIES		603
INSTRUMENTS AND DOCUMENTS RELATED TO THE EU ACQUIS		605
LITERATURE		615
INDEX		639