CONTENTS

		Page
I.	Introduction	1
II.	ORIGINS OF THE WORKING GROUP ON ARBITRARY DETEN-	
	TION VOICENTO GROOT ON ARBITRANT DETEN-	P
III.	COMPOSITION AND MANDATE OF THE WORKING GROUP	\sim 3
IV.	CRITERIA ADOPTED BY THE WORKING GROUP TO DETER-	
	MINE WHETHER A DEPRIVATION OF LIBERTY IS ARBI-	
	TRARY	4
	A. What is meant by "deprivation of liberty"? B. When does deprivation of liberty become arbitrary?	4
* 7	- The does deprivation of mostly occome arbitrary!	5
V.	PROCEDURES FOLLOWED BY THE WORKING GROUP	7
	A. The procedure involving investigation of individual	
	B. The "deliberations" procedure	7 9
	C. The "urgent action" procedure	9
	D. Field missions	,10
VI.	THE ANNUAL REPORT	. 10
VII.	COOPERATION WITH OTHER UNITED NATIONS BODIES	11
م) مر	A. Cooperation with other human rights protec-	
20	tion mechanisms	11
1,	B. Cooperation with non-governmental organizations.	12
ANNE	XES	
Į,	. Articles of the Universal Declaration of Human	
	Rights and the International Covenant on Civil and	
	Political Rights relating to the mandate of the Working Group on Arbirary Detention	16
II.	J	
	under Any Form of Detention or Imprisonment	23
III.	Composition of the Working Group	36

		Page
IV.	Revised methods of work	37
V.	Model questionnaire to be completed by persons alleging arbitrary arrest or detention	45
VI.	Practical information	9 49
		200
	Redection	1
	Son the Working Significance Assertance Dog so	D II
	MERCHA ADOLES THE MURRING THE DURTNESS ARREST	0
	92	
	Sylves democratical means have considered	
	STEPHERS THEONED BY WORKING CHOOSE	
	The process awatenig in Continue of institution.	
	The "del fermus propagare"	
	The first action of the second	
	* 61	
	Angel some Complete them to be some or	
0	Comparation and relies in a constant	
109	wing and the design of the wing and the contract of the contra	*
`	O	
	eathere of the Ometral Declaration of Is as	
<	Pourcuit Kights relating to the mandate of the Most.	
111	ng Group on Arb min Detendon	